

Title: Statistical properties of acoustic emission signals from metal cutting processes

F.A. Farrelly, A. Petri, L. Pitolli, G. Pontuale ^{a)}

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Acustica "O.M.Corbino"

Via del Fosso del Cavaliere, 100 - 00133 Roma, Italy

A. Tagliani, P.L. Novi Inverardi

Faculty of Economics, Trento University

Via Vigilio Inama, 5 - 38100 Trento, Italy

Received.

Running title: Acoustic emission statistical properties

Abbreviated title: Acoustic emission statistical properties

^{a)} Electronic-mail: pontuale@idac.rm.cnr.it

^{b)} Portions of this work were published in "A statistical analysis of acoustic emission signals for tool condition monitoring (TCM)", ARLO-Acoustic Research Letters Online, 4(1), January 2003.

ABSTRACT

Acoustic Emission (AE) data from single point turning machining are analysed in this paper in order to gain a greater insight of the signal statistical properties for Tool Condition Monitoring (TCM) applications. A statistical analysis of the time series data amplitude and root mean square (RMS) value at various tool wear levels are performed, finding that ageing features can be revealed in all cases from the observed experimental histograms. In particular, AE data amplitudes are shown to be distributed with a power-law behaviour above a cross-over value. An analytic model for the RMS values probability density function (*pdf*) is obtained resorting to the Jaynes' maximum entropy principle (MEp); novel technique of constraining the modelling function under few fractional moments, instead of a greater amount of ordinary moments, leads to well-tailored functions for experimental histograms.

PACS numbers: 43.60.Cg, 43.40.Le, 02.60.Gf

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to global competition and rapidly changing customer requirements, enterprises are required to constantly redesign their products and continuously reconfigure their manufacturing processes in terms of increasing flexibility and complexity, in order to satisfy the international market's demands to reduce production costs and increase precision and quality. Design and development of on-line systems for monitoring the process parameters, parts and manufacturing environment, is becoming more and more important, as the actual Sixth Framework European Programme FP6 efforts demonstrate. In this framework, main problems in the field of metal cutting are constituted by tool wear and tool breakage. These phenomena limit the cutting speed and feed rate, and consequently, the metal removal rates that can be used in machining various workpiece materials in an economic way. Also, this fact plays a negative role in the machine tool environment causing unexpected breakdowns, defective workpieces, overloads due to high cutting forces and machine tool damages, as well as other problems that reduce the productiveness of the machine tool. Usually, these problems are solved using a conservative limit for the tool useful life, this leading to a less optimum use of the tool. The complexity of such a problem has lead to an impressive amount of literature on this subject, and a variety of techniques have been proposed. An extended review of the state of the art, technological challenges and future developments of these systems is described by Byrne et al.¹. This paper deals in great detail on describing the physical parameters to be analysed for industrial control applications, together with their appropriate sensory systems. Among these, Acoustic Emission (AE) signal analysis has been demonstrated to be one of the most efficient TCM techniques which can be applied to machining processes control, as the impressive amount of literature on this subject shows; Xiaoli's article² is just an example of brief review about AE methods for tool wear

monitoring during turning machining. Also AE source identification and modelling, for this particular application, is a subject in which, during the last years, a large number of studies have been conducted, (see only as a few important examples³⁻⁶); Heiple et al.⁷ found that the primary source of AE from single point machining is the sliding friction between the nose and the flank of the tool and the machined surface. This kind of friction is related in a complex manner with tool wear and the material being machined; therefore, depending on machining conditions, the RMS levels and other AE related values may increase or decrease as the tool wears, affecting the parameters of the experimental frequency distributions.

In this framework, our paper tackles the problem of gaining greater insight of the basic statistical properties of AE signals, whose better and deeper knowledge, besides shedding light upon this fundamental aspect of AE for this application, may greatly facilitate an appropriate implementation of AE sensor-based devices leading to efficient TCM systems. To do this, single-point turning machining conditions, that will be described in the next section, were held fixed throughout the experiment, so as to limit the number of varying parameters that might affect the behaviour of the observed quantities. The experimental probability density functions (*pdf*) of AE time series amplitude and Root Mean Squared (RMS) values are shown for different levels of tool wear, both these approaches being capable of showing interesting and not yet completely exploited features. Furthermore, the effects of tool wear on such statistical properties are highlighted, thus outlining possible further signal analysis scenarios.

An analytic model for the RMS *pdf* reconstruction is presented here, resorting to the Jaynes' maximum entropy principle (MEp) principle; the novel technique, recently proposed by some of the authors, of constraining the modelling function under some fractional moments instead of a greater amount of ordinary integer moments, leads to well-tailored

functions for the experimental *pdf*. These results are compared with previously considered models, showing a substantial improvement in the agreement with experimental histograms.

II. DETECTORS AND EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

To achieve the objectives of this work, simultaneous AE data acquisition has been conducted by means of two different AE sensors: a custom-built AE sensor, and a Brüel & Kjær 8312 AE sensor. The choice of using two different transducers for signal pick-up not only allows a more reliable and intensive harvest of data, but also makes it possible to perform a compared analysis on signals gathered at the same time but at different locations and in different conditions. In fact, the propagation of AE signals in the range investigated is characterised by significant attenuation. Thus, in order to achieve a good signal to noise ratio, the sensor should be placed as close as possible to the machining point where the AE signal is generated⁸; as an added benefit, reduction of the signal distortion due to the number of interfaces and mechanical resonances is also achieved by avoiding a long measurement chain. This motivated the use of a custom-built sensor, made of a small rectangular shaped piezoelectric ceramic (PZT-5), 5.0 x 1.5 x 0.7 mm in size, working as a resonant sensor with a resonance frequency near 370 kHz, housed inside a small cavity bored into the cutting tool holder so as to protect it from chip damages and liquid coolant effects, and placed about two centimetres from the AE signal sources. An electrically conductive adhesive is used to bond the ceramic to the internal face of the cavity. The commercial sensor is a 40 dB pre-amplified Brüel & Kjaer Type 8312 AE transducer, placed at the extremity of the tool holder by means of a special mounting, about 12 cm from the cutting area.

AE measurements were performed while machining stainless steel (AISI 303) bars on a SAG14 GRAZIANO lathe. Cutting speeds range from 0.5 to 1 *m/s*, while feed rates and cutting depths are kept constant at 0.0195 mm/turn and 2 mm respectively. In all

measurements, cutting tool inserts were "IMPERO" PCLNR with 2020/12 type tungsten carbide; the acquisitions were performed on inserts with various degrees of wear. Specifically, inserts were grouped into three different wear categories: new ones, those estimated to be half-way through their life-cycle (50%) and those completely worn through (100%).

In the new and 100% worn cases, 8 cutting edges were analyzed per wear level, while 4 edges were utilised in the 50% case. For each edge one acquisition run was conducted, collecting 15 banks of 40,960 AE time series point corresponding to 16.38 ms, for a total of 614,400 points each run. Hence, a total of 12,288,000 (4.9152 s) AE time series points were collected over all 20 runs.

The experimental set-up is roughly sketched in Fig. 1. The signals detected by the transducers were amplified (by means of a 40 dB Analog Module preamplifier for the custom sensor, its own 40 dB preamplifier for the Brüel & Kjaer one), and filtered in the 200 – 1000 kHz range through a Krohn-Hite 3944 filter. The signals were then captured by a Tektronix digital oscilloscope (TDS420) using a 2.5 MHz sampling rate, and finally stored in a PC through an IEEE488 interface. Blank measurements performed just prior to machining indicated no significant electrical noise. The data were analysed both directly in their time series form and through Root Mean Squared (RMS) values.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Time series analysis

Typical time splice series for the two sensors are shown in Fig. 2. In both cases two rather well distinct parts can be identified: a *continuous part* that is characterised by a relatively constant amplitude with small fluctuations, and a *burst emission* exhibiting strong intermittence and relatively high amplitudes. The former is associated with plastic deformation and frictional processes during the cutting operations, the latest with chip breakage as well

with micro-cracks and dislocation kinetics^{9,3}.

For the two sensors, the histograms of the absolute value of time series amplitudes, a , taken from measurements performed using inserts in three stages of wear are portrayed in Fig. 3. All these experimental frequency distributions $p(a)$ are normalised over the related number of data and grouped into 126 classes. It is possible to observe how in all cases the curves exhibit a power-law behaviour $p(a) = Aa^{-\alpha} + B$ above a cross-over value from a nearly flat distribution, the value of the slope being slightly dependent on the sensor used ($\alpha = -3.7$ and $\alpha = -3.9$ for custom-built and Brüel & Kjaer sensors, respectively), but similar for all three stages of wear. The corresponding exponents for the energy E are $\alpha' = -2.35$ and $\alpha' = -2.45$, as they can be derived from the amplitude exponents assuming $E \propto a^2$.

For both sensors, data from tools with greater wear level show within the power-law range a slightly smaller frequency count for a given value in amplitude; this leads to the conclusion that, in this set of trials, the newer tools are the most active ones in terms of acoustic emission.

It is interesting to note that power-law behaviour, strongly suggestive of a critical dynamics associated with this particular AE phenomena, has been observed in many studies on acoustic emission signals, e.g. those related with the formation of micro-fractures^{10,11,?}. In general, power-law characteristics are associated with scale invariant properties underlying the physical phenomena under study, and in some cases this has been explained by Self-Organised Criticality (SOC)¹³ models.

B. Root mean squared analysis

A substantial effort in the past has been dedicated towards analysing the relationship between signal RMS and tool wear level in various experimental situations, e.g. see¹⁴ for

identifying catastrophic tool failure (CTF) conditions in carbide inserts. The analysis of the RMS were conducted calculating values on the basis of 100 points, corresponding to 40 ms, this choice being effective in making the RMS signal sensitive to the different contributions from burst and continuous events. In order to study the RMS values statistical properties, also as a function of ageing, their experimental frequency distributions were analysed by grouping the values into 60 bins, after their normalisation over the largest values of the entire RMS data set. For each wear level, and for both the sensors utilised, the average histograms are shown in Fig. 4. For increasing levels of wear the curves show a noticeable shift towards lower levels of the modal value of the frequency distribution, as well a change in the skewness tending towards values compatible with a symmetrical shape, these features being particularly evident for Brüel & Kjaer sensor. In order to test the difference among these graphs, T-Test analyses regarding the sample means were performed, which indicate that the null hypothesis of equal means can be rejected with a confidence level of 95%. This approach appears to be effective in discriminating tool wear features, and could be used as the basis for implementing algorithms for TCM applications.

In literature, borrowing from a technique used in the description of surfaces roughness by Whitehouse¹⁵, various attempts have been made at determining tool condition relying on the hypothesis that a Beta distribution $f(x)$ (see for example^{16,14}) properly describes the Probability Density Function *pdf* of the RMS values,

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{r-1}(1-x)^{s-1}}{\beta(r, s)}, \quad (1)$$

where β is the complete Beta function:

$$\beta(r, s) = \int_0^1 x^{r-1}(1-x)^{s-1}dx. \quad (2)$$

With this assumption it is possible to characterize the moments of the distribution in terms of the two parameters r and s , and vice-versa. In particular, as far as mean (μ) and variance (σ^2) are concerned, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}(\mu - \mu^2 - \sigma^2) \\ s &= \frac{1 - \mu}{\sigma^2}(\mu - \mu^2 - \sigma^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Thus, values for r and s can be estimated on the basis of the mean and variance of the data set. Past studies have shown that r,s pairs are scattered in different ways, depending on tool conditions¹⁶. One shortcoming of this method is that no estimate of the errors on the r and s parameters is directly available; this is particularly serious as real-life signals often contain outliers which can bring a noticeable shift in the actual values of both mean and variance. One possibility is to use more robust estimators (e.g. median instead of mean) although this still does not give an error estimate for the calculated parameters. A further choice is to perform a non-linear best-fit on the data set using the function given in Eq. (1)¹⁷.

In Fig. 5 the best-fit of the experimental frequency distributions from custom-built sensor data as in Fig. 4 are shown. From these graphs it is possible to see that while there is a good matching between the fitting function and the data sets in the neighbourhood of the peaks, some discrepancies are visible in the residual for RMS bin values just above the peak where the curves level off; this indicates that in this range, the data sets are richer in events than what Eq. (1) would indicate, and this suggests that a better empirical fitting-function may exist. In Fig. 6 r,s estimates from Eqs. (3) are compared to the ones obtained by the best-fitting process. It is evident that the two groups greatly differ and that these discrepancies are not compatible considering the error estimates given on the fitted

parameters. Furthermore, the scattering pattern of these two groups are entirely different; whereas both the best-fitted r,s parameters tend to increase with wear, the estimated ones show an essentially opposite behavior. One possible explanation for this difference is that while the best-fit process minimises mean-square differences between the fitting function and the frequency distribution (so that heavily populated bins are weighted more), the estimate method relies on μ and σ^2 . Variance, in particular, is highly sensitive to outliers, so values far from the mean weigh heavily on its determination.

In this framework, a method is proposed here to reconstruct the approximate RMS's *pdf* by applying the ME technique, under the constraint of some fractional moments, the latter ones being explicitly obtained in terms of given ordinary moments. Such approach allows to obtain well-tailored fitting functions for the experimental curves.

C. Recovering RMS's *pdf* from fractional moments

Jaynes' maximum entropy principle (MEp) says that *"the best (minimally prejudiced) assignment of probabilities is that one which minimises the entropy subject to the satisfaction of the constraints imposed by the available information"*¹⁸. Thus, taking the Kullback-Leibler information functional or differential entropy (KL, in the following) as the relevant information measure, the spirit of Jaynes' principle implies that the best probability assignment $f_M(x)$ is the solution of the following minimization problem:

$$\min KL(f, f_0) = \min \int_D f(x) \ln \frac{f(x)}{f_0(x)} dx, \quad (4)$$

subject to the satisfaction of the following requirements:

- i) $f(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in D$;
- ii) $\int_D f(x) dx = 1$;
- iii) $I_k(f(x)) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M$;

where $f_0(x)$ is the "prior distribution" of X and $\{I_k(f(x)) = 0, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is a set of relations representing the information available on the distribution whose $f(x)$ is the density. In other words Jaynes' prescription is to take the best probability assignment $f_M(x)$ as close as possible to the prior distribution $f_0(x)$ without however contradicting the available physical information as summarized by the constraints I_k and the general requirements of any legitimate density function. Usually,

$$I_k(f(x)) = \mu_k - \int_D x^k f(x) dx, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, M, \quad (5)$$

where μ_k represents the k -th integral moment of the population having $f(x)$ as *pdf*. If the population moments are unknown, it is possible to replace them with their sample counterparts¹⁹. But, it should be clear that integral moments are not the unique choice. In fact, when the underlying random variable takes positive values, Novi Inverardi and Tagliani²⁰ proposed the use of fractional moments

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha_k} =: E(X^{\alpha_k}) = \int_D x^{\alpha_k} f(x) dx, \ \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}, \ k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M, \ \tilde{\mu}_0 = 1,$$

to represent the available information in the set of constraints given in Eq. (5) to spend for recovering the unknown *pdf*. With this setup, the solution of (4) which gives back the Jaynes' MEp model,

$$f_M(x; \alpha_k, \lambda_k) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{k=0}^M \lambda_k x^{\alpha_k}\right\}. \quad (6)$$

The parameter M , unknown when the available information consists only in a sample, represents the order of the model given by the Jaynes' *MEp* and the λ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$, are the Lagrangian multipliers associated with the physical constraints $I_k(f(x))$.

The main reason that asks for the choice of fractional moments rests on the fact that integral moments could be very poor tool to extract information from a sample when the corresponding distribution exhibits fat tails or the characterizing moments are not integral.

In the last case, giving the fractional moments a better approximation of the characterizing moments, the performance of the reconstruction density procedure based on them is expected to be reasonably better than that based on integral moments.

When the only information available consists in a sample, the Jaynes' MEp needs to be combined with the Akaike selection approach to obtain a complete procedure for the reconstruction of the underlying unknown *pdf*: in fact Jaynes' MEp produces an infinite hierarchy of ME models and Akaike's approach permits to select the optimal member from the hierarchy of models given by MEp.

It is clear from Eq. (6) that when constraints involve fractional moments there is an additional problem to solve: being the exponents α_k of fractional moments new variables to take into account, it needs to decide not only *how many* but also *what* fractional moments to choose in such a way that the estimated density reflects properly the information contained in a given sample about the unknown probability distribution. Both of these choices rest on the exploiting of differential entropy contribution or in other terms choose the M α 's exponents and the M λ 's coefficients which minimize the KL distance between $f(x)$ and $f_M(x)$; it means the solution of the following optimization problem:

$$\min_M \left\{ \min_{\alpha} \left\{ \min_{\lambda} \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln (f_M(x_i; \lambda, \alpha)) + \frac{M}{n} \right\} \right\} \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where $-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln (f_M(x_i; \lambda, \alpha)) + \frac{M}{n}$ represents the sample differential M -order model entropy. The term M/n is proportional to the model order M , i.e. to the number of parameters which we try to estimate using a given sample, and inversely proportional to the size n of the sample and can be interpreted in the Akaike's philosophy as a "penalty term" which prevents us from establishing "too elaborate" models which cannot be justified by the given data. Consequently, the parsimony principle becomes an important criterion whereby we attempt to retain only relevant and useful information and discard the redundant part of it.

More details on the estimation procedure can be found in Novi Inverardi and Tagliani²⁰.

The above technique is applied here to recover from AE's values the analytic form of the RMS's *pdf* that are solution of Eq. (7) and that represent a well-tailored model for experimental data distributions. Fig.7 shows, for the three levels of tool wear previously considered, the results of RMS values *pdf* recovering by the ME technique, using only 5 fractional moments. Curves are compared with the experimental histograms showing a good agreement, especially for newer tools curves, and the visual inspection of entropy values related to the approximating functions indicates it decreases with increasing tool wear level, this representing a possible further indicator for the phenomena evolution.

CONCLUSIONS.

Various ways of analysing the basic statistical properties of AE signals in a TCM application have been illustrated, in which machining conditions were held fixed throughout the experiment, in order to limit the number of varying parameters that might affect the behaviour of the observed quantities. The analysis has been performed on signals gathered at the same time using two different AE sensors, enabling a comparative analysis in which, for both sensors, some interesting features, till now not sufficiently underlined, have emerged. In particular, both AE time series and their associated RMS values experimental frequency distributions have been derived, allowing to analyse how tool wear affects such statistical features in the kind of situations investigated in our experiment. For what concerns the RMS values, the shape of the curves indicates a noticeable shift towards lower levels of the modal value for increasing levels of wear, this indicating a reduced AE activity, together to a reduction in the signal variability and a change in the skewness towards values compatible with a symmetrical shape.

A Beta function model for describing the RMS's *pdf* has been tested, and the residuals

in the best-fitted function indicate that a more appropriate fitting model should be sought. A much better agreement has been reached by resorting to a ME technique by means of which the general Hausdorff moment problem has been tackled in an original way by using only few sampling fractional moments, this providing a better tailored analytic form for the RMS's experimental distributions than previously proposed models. It has been also observed that the entropy of the functions monotonically changes for wear increasing. On the other hand, the physical meaning of the Lagrange multipliers λ_j obtained in this fitting function reconstruction, (or the equivalent fractional moments order α_j) is not clear, and future efforts should be done to clarify this aspect.

Particularly interesting are the statistical properties of the time series, in which power laws in the frequency distributions have been identified, in accordance with what has been pointed as a feature of acoustic emission phenomena in numerous other fields. In particular, the evidence of the non-gaussianity of the process would make it reasonable to tackle the signal blind deconvolution problem by means of higher order statistics (HOS)²¹. The recovering, only from the observed output, of the unknown original signal before it had been altered by the sensor response and the measurement chain, would be a fundamental step towards a deeper understanding of AE phenomena associated to TCM and more general applications as well.

¹ G. Byrne, D. A. Dornfeld, I. Inasaki, K. Ketteler, W. Konig, R. Teti, "Tool Condition Monitoring (TCM) - The status of research and industrial application," *Annals of CIRP* **44(2)**, 541-567 (1995).

² X. Li, "A brief review: acoustic emission method for tool wear monitoring during turning,"

- International Journal of Machine Tool & Manufacture **42**, 157-165 (2002).
- ³ H. Hatano, "Quantitative measurements of acoustic emission related to its microscopic mechanisms," J. Acoust.Soc. Am. **57(3)**, 639-645 (1975).
 - ⁴ K. Ono, "Acoustic Emission," in *Encyclopedia of Acoustics*, edited by Malcolm J.Crocker (John Wiley & Sons, 1997), Chap. 68, pp. 797-809.
 - ⁵ D. A. Dornfeld, E. Kannatey-Asibu, "Acoustic emission during orthogonal metal cutting," Int. J. Mech. Sci. **22**, 285-296 (1980).
 - ⁶ J. Chung, E. Kannatey-Asibu, "Acoustic emission from plastic deformation of a pure single crystal," J. Appl. Phys. **72(5)**, 1812-1820 (1992).
 - ⁷ C. R. Heiple, S. H. Carpenter, D. L. Armentrout, P. McManigle, "Acoustic emission from single point machining: source mechanisms and signal changes with tool wear," Materials Evaluation, **52(5)**, 590-596 (1994).
 - ⁸ K. Jemielniak, "Some aspects of AE application in tool condition monitoring," Ultrasonics **38**, 604-608 (2000).
 - ⁹ S. Y. Liang, D. A. Dornfeld, "Tool wear detection using time series analysis of acoustic emission", J. of Eng. Ind. **111**, 199-205 (1989).
 - ¹⁰ A. Petri, "Acoustic emission and microcrack correlation," Phil.Mag.B **77(2)**, 491-498 (1996).
 - ¹¹ A. Garcimartin, A. Guarino, I. Bellon, S. Ciliberto, "Statistical properties of fracture precursors," Phys. Rev. Lett. **79(17)**, 3202-3205 (1997).
 - ¹² G. Caldarelli, F. Di Tolla, A. Petri, "Self-Organization and annealed disorder in a fracturing process," Phys. Rev. Lett. **77(2)**, 2503-2506 (1996).
 - ¹³ P. Bak, C. Tang, K. Wiesenfeld, "Self-Organized Criticality: An Explanation of 1/f Noise," Phys.Rev.Lett. **59**, 381-384 (1997).

- ¹⁴ K. Jemielniak, O. Otman, "Catastrophic tool failure detection based on acoustic emission signal analysis," *Annals of CIRP* **47(1)**, 31-34 (1998).
- ¹⁵ D. J. Whitehouse, "Beta functions for surface typologie?," *Annals of CIRP* **27**, 491-497 (1978).
- ¹⁶ E. Kannatey-Asibu, D. A. Dornfeld, "Study of Tool Wear Using Statistical Analysis of Metal Cutting Acoustic Emission, " *Wear* **76**, 247-261 (1982).
- ¹⁷ Fitting the function given in Eq.1 requires a non-trivial effort, as this function cannot be linearised, so that the fitting process requires initial seed values; these might be obtained using the r,s estimation technique described above. Additionally, fully automating the fitting process requires appropriate handling of specific exceptions which can occur in non-linear regressions (e.g. singular matrix conditions, reaching iteration limits without having satisfied tolerance criteria, etc.). In this paper no attempt at automating this process has been made.
- ¹⁸ E. T. Jaynes, "On the rationale of maximum-entropy methods," *Proc. IEEE* **70**, 939-952 (1982).
- ¹⁹ R. Baker, "Probability Estimation and Information Principles," *Structural Safety* **9**, 97-116 (1990).
- ²⁰ P. L. Novi Inverardi, A. Tagliani, "Maximum Entropy Density Estimation from Fractional Moments", *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* **32(2)**, 327-345 (2003).
- ²¹ J. Cadzow, X. Li, "Blind Deconvolution," *Digital Signal Processing* **5**, 3-20, (1995).













